

PUBLIC LEDGER

SIXTH YEAR.

MAYSVILLE, KY., SATURDAY, MARCH 27, 1897.

ONE CENT.

BOLTERS!

B'GOSH!

"OLD, OLD STORY!"

Dr. Hunter Still Lacks Two Votes to Win the Toga.

DEMONSTRATING THE TRAITORS!



Special to The Public Ledger.

FRANKFORT, March 27th. The same old story—the regular Republican caucus nominee two votes short of an election, and six men who were elected as Republicans throwing their votes away!

The rollcall in the Joint Assembly showed 150 present—66 being necessary to a choice.

The following pairs were announced before the balloting began. Senators Fulton and Stege, Representatives Morgan and Furnish, Garrett and Horton.

This is the ballot—

Hunter 64
Blackburn 46
Davie 13
Boyle 6
Stone 1

Dispatches have been pouring in from all quarters, commending the loyalty of the Hunter men and denouncing the "bolters."

Indignation meetings have been held at Manfordsville, Versailles, Pineville and Nicholasville demanding that the "bolters" either support the party's nominee or resign their seats.

At the Versailles meeting the Hon. Samuel D. Frinkton was one of the speakers, and here's a part of what he said of the "bolters":

"Their conduct is contemptible and damnable; they are blocking state business, squandering \$1,000 a day; six bull-headed men putting themselves against eight or nine other men and saying, 'We are right and they are wrong.' Let's hold meetings all over Kentucky and require them to do right or drive them from the party."



"If you have friends visiting you, or if you are going away on a visit, please drop us a note to that effect."

Mr. John Duley came in last night from Paris.

Mr. Hamlet C. Sharp returned last evening from Frankfort.

Dr. J. H. Russell was very much in Cincinnati last evening.

Miss Blanche Kussard of the county is visiting at Concord.

Mr. Laura Hall and son have returned from a visit to relatives at Vanoverburg.

Cousin Clara W. DeChowen went to Danville this morning, to remain over Sunday.

"If you have an item of news, please call up THE LEDGER, Telephone 33, and send it in."

Fire Insurance—J. M. Everett.

A colored Democrat is a candidate for Jailer in Madison county.

Anheuser-Busch Brewing Co.'s Beers at the St. Charles exclusively.

Bananas, 10c, doz., Lemons, 2 doz., 35c, Oranges, 8 doz., 35c. Sat., Martin Bros.

MAYSVILLE WEATHER.

What We May Expect For the Week
Twenty-four Hours.

THE LEADER'S
WEATHER SIGNALS.
White streamer—Fair.
Blue—Rain or snow.
With black a storm—Thunder and rain.
If black a rain—Thunder and rain.
If black a rain—Thunder and rain.
If black a rain—Thunder and rain.
If black a rain—Thunder and rain.

Get your Easter Eggs at Hoff's.

Meals served at all hours at Roper's New Era.

Anheuser-Busch Lager Beer on draught at the St. Charles.

A. B. Botts, formerly of Aberdeen, is now practicing medicine at Hannas City, Ill.

Robbers shot and fatally wounded a man in a German Catholic convent at Fort Smith, Ark.

Governor Bradley has pardoned Gilbert Sayler, who was twice convicted of killing two men in Bell county.

William B. Throckmorton of Mt. Olivet and Miss Celia Kiskadee of Cynthiana married at Cincinnati Thursday.

The New Central yesterday had a guest who was the boss kicker—he "kicked the beam" at 442 pounds, avoidupol.

Mrs. L. W. Davis has just received a full line of beautiful spring millinery, and will be glad to see her friends. Due notice will be given of the regular spring opening.

The Consistory Court of the Diocese of London has ordered the delivery to Mr. Bayard of the book of the Mayflower, to be sent to the President of the United States for the people of the United States.

There will be a social at the Baptist Church next Friday night for the members. No charges at the door or inside. Every member of the Church whether rich or poor is urged to avail himself of this means of getting better acquainted. A pleasant and easy time is offered.

Cincinnati Detectives are going after the small fortune which awaits the man who will arrest and secure enough evidence to convict the raiders who destroyed the Lexington Garment company.

The Circuit Court of the county offers a total reward of \$5,000 for the arrest of the raiders.

The Winchester Democrat says: "Mr. John McCoy has associated with him Mr. James Small of Mayville, and will conduct the merchant selling his new at the old stand. Mr. Small is one of the finest cutters in the state, and the new firm no doubt will do a large business."

Hoff's: Hot Cash Bargains.

Special bargains in Handkerchiefs, Dress Linings, White Quills and Hosiery. A good Brussels Carpet, cut, made and laid, for 50 cents a yard.

COMMERCIAL TRAVELERS.

Items of Interest to Local Knights of the Grip and Pass.

The following claims have been paid to the members of Mayville Chapter since its organization:

E. A. Lee, September 16th, 1894, \$200.00
E. A. Mohrman, March 6th, 1894, 75.00
R. B. Owens, April 16th, 1894, 75.00
J. L. Davidson, June 16th, 1894, 50.00
T. M. Russell, August 16th, 1894, 100.00
G. W. Crowell, December 17th, 1894, 75.00
J. P. Wallace, July 16th, 1896, 50.00
Patrick Fox, January 11th, 1896, 100.00
J. L. Nicholson, February 28th, 1896, 50.00
J. D. Muse, Oct. '96, partial payment, 300.00

J. D. Muse, March, '97, in full, 1,000.00
Total, \$2,125.00

The amount paid in by the members was \$4,112.

The following, as far as we could learn, attended Fleming Court this week—J. B. Newton, William Archdeacon, J. C. Kackley, J. J. Fitzgerald, R. B. Owens, D. Heichinger, J. B. Comer.

Messrs. J. B. Russell and George F. Brown were at Cynthiana this week.

The following letter was received by George F. Brown, Secretary U. C. T. of Mayville:

George F. Brown, Secretary U. C. T. of Mayville:

Dear Sir and Brother: I received your check for \$1,000 this morning; many thanks. The promptness of the U. C. T. in the payment of its claims is certainly commendable. I think if the best and cheapest accident insurance in existence, and shall always recommend it as the dearest friend of the traveling man. Again thanking you, in the name of the Order, I remain, yours in U. C. T.

March 20th, 1897. J. D. Muse.

All kinds of Fancy Mixed Drinks at Roper's New Era.

Taylor Bros. at Washington are selling 25 pounds of Havemeyer's Standard Granulated Sugar for \$1 and 8 pounds of either Abbot's or Lion Coffee for \$1.


Louis, the four-year-old son of Robert Blits of Portsmouth, was peacefully buried under the hands and arms West.

He had been playing with a lighted candle, when his clothes ignited, and in a few moments he was enveloped in flames. His burns, though painful, are not serious.

Wanted, a young or middle-aged man as partner in an established and rapidly growing stamp trade; big profits and quick returns guaranteed. Applicant must have from \$500 to \$1,000 cash to invest in the business, and will be amply secured against loss. Further particulars by addressing

T. L. Greer, County Clerk, Mt. Olivet, Ky.

BUY A BAG!



8 pounds Levering's Coffee \$1.00, 4 lbs. B. B. Love.

John G. Fletcher, one of Flemingsburg's old citizens, is quite ill.

Hear Mr. Vincent at the High School Monday evening. Subject, "Lower."

The value of the British ships and cargoes lost every year at sea is about \$200,000,000.

The voters of Aberdeen will elect a Clerk and three Councilmen on Monday, April 5th.

Miss Maude Downing of this county is teaching the Bethel School near Johnson Junction.

Joseph L. Bristol, the new Fourth Assistant Postmaster General, is a native of Fleming county.

See our bargains this week in Gray East Main Ware at our new store, No. 41 West Second street. McCANAHAN & SHERA

Persons having claims against Macon county are notified by advertisement of County Clerk Cochran to present them at once.

At Martinsburg, Ky., a four-year-old child of Giles Brington fell into a kettle of hot soap and was literally boiled to death.

Several Lexington Banks may consolidate as the result of the decision rendered by the Court of Appeals, taking bank stock the same as other property.

Farmers and gardeners should inspect Landreth's Garden Seed at Chenoweth's Drugstore before buying others. They are superior to any on the market.

Do you need a good clock? If so, P. J. Murphy is offering the finest clocks ever offered for the money. An elegant Eight day, either "Oak" or "Walnut," strikes hours and half hours, 22 inches high, only \$3.25, reduced from \$5, warranted a good timekeeper.

DECISION MODIFIED.

The Court of Appeals Changes Somewhat the Bank Tax Cases.

The Court of Appeals has modified its decision in the bank tax cases.

Under the decision as, modified no tax can be imposed on deposits. Banks may reduce their capital and distribute their surplus and keep a larger proportion of the money elsewhere. In various ways they will protect themselves. They will charge more for their services as collectors, increase rates for money, where this is possible, and reduce their dividends.

The state is deprived by this decision of nearly half of its income from banks, and at this time the loss will be seriously felt. Heretofore, the state has received 75 cents on every \$100 of capital; hereafter it will receive only 45 cents.

The banks, though, will have to pay an aggregate tax of \$2.27, or three times the tax paid now.

The case will go to the Supreme Court at Washington. The point made is that there is a violation of a formal contract—first a contract made under the Hewitt Law; next a contract under the bank charters granted prior to 1890.

MAYSVILLE'S CHURCHES

WHERE RELIGIOUS EXERCISES WILL BE HELD SUNDAY.



First Presbyterian Church.

Rev. J. S. Fries, D.D., Pastor.

Residence, No. 22 West Fourth street.

Sunday-school 9 a. m.

Nation Sabbath-school in the German Church 9 a. m.

Westminster S. C. 8:45 a. m.

Weekly Prayer-meeting Tuesday at 7:00 p. m.

Presiding at 10:30 a. m. and 7 p. m.

CENTRAL PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH.

Sunday-school 9:15 a. m.

Weekly Prayer-meeting Wednesday at 7:00 p. m.

CHURCH OF THE SAVATY.

Rev. D. D. Chapin, Pastor.

Residence, No. 28 West Second street.

Sunday-school 9:15 a. m.

Prayer-meeting Friday at 7 p. m.

Morning Prayer, Sermon and Holy Communion at 10:30.

Evening Prayer at 4 o'clock instead of 7 as heretofore.

Sunday mornings during Lent the Pastor is giving a series of sermons on the Beatitudes.

M. E. CHURCH.

Rev. F. H. Harris, Pastor.

Residence, No. 28 West Second street.

Sunday-school 9:15 a. m.

Prayer-meeting Thursday 7:00 p. m.

Presiding at 10:30 a. m. and 7:00 p. m.

M. A. CHURCH, SOUTH.

Rev. J. S. Fries, Pastor.

Residence, No. 26 West Second street.

Sunday-school 9:15 a. m.

Prayer-meeting Thursday 7:00 p. m.

Presiding at 10:30 a. m. and 7:00 p. m.

FIRST BAPTIST CHURCH.

Rev. J. P. Trotter, Pastor.

Residence, No. 19 West Fourth street.

Sunday-school 9:15 a. m.

Prayer-meeting Thursday 7:00 p. m.

Presiding at 10:30 a. m. and 7:00 p. m.

Rev. Father A. E. E. Pastor.

Residence, No. 34 Limestone street.

Sunday-school 9:15 a. m.

Prayer-meeting Thursday 7:00 p. m.

Presiding at 10:30 a. m. and 7:00 p. m.

More Talk

About Time.

Some people are peculiar in the matter of buying a Watch. A silver Watch is good enough for one man so long as it keeps good time. Another one wants something a little more showy—a gold one,—but it, too, must keep good time. Some want a high-priced Watch, others a cheaper one, but after all everybody wants a correct timepiece. We selected our stock with time in view, and can sell you a high, low or medium-priced Watch—and any of them will keep time—the essential point.

We repair Watches so that we can guarantee them to keep time. Charges low.

BALLENGER, Jeweler

South Side Second Street.

Y. M. C. A. MATTERS.

What the Local Association is Doing For the City's Young Men.



The score last night stood—

BLUES 10
REDKINS 10

The "Blues" are not discouraged because the number 13 was reached and held onto yesterday. That was the desired point, and from now on the Redkins will have to "push on" in order to keep the lead.

This evening the Juniors will hold forth in the Gymnasium, the occasion being especially to afford to their parents and family friends an opportunity to see the work and exercises as conducted in this department for the boys. Two admission tickets will be given to each Junior member, and because of the limited amount of space the attendance will have to be limited to those who are specially invited and given tickets by the boys.

The Savior while here upon this earth failed to please everybody. Then why does the present generation expect more of humanity than the Savior?

"Blues" may not be the desired thing for some people, but up to the present Captain Kackley, with his good-natured and hearty hand-shake, has not seen fit to order his army surrender their "Blues" to their dastly opponents. A blue member ship ticket is the only kind issued.

Mr. E. A. King of Newport will be here tomorrow to give a special lecture to men only at the Y. M. C. A. Rooms on the subject "The Significance of Sex."

This and other purity talks have been given before large audiences of men in other cities with great success, and the Local Association Committee feel that they have been fortunate in securing Mr. King. The lecture will be free to men of the community, whether members of the Association or not, and a large attendance is anticipated. Boys under 14 will not be admitted unless accompanied by their parents.

Mr. E. A. King of Newport will be here tomorrow to give a special lecture to men only at the Y. M. C. A. Rooms on the subject "The Significance of Sex."

This and other purity talks have been given before large audiences of men in other cities with great success, and the Local Association Committee feel that they have been fortunate in securing Mr. King. The lecture will be free to men of the community, whether members of the Association or not, and a large attendance is anticipated. Boys under 14 will not be admitted unless accompanied by their parents.

Mr. E. A. King of Newport will be here tomorrow to give a special lecture to men only at the Y. M. C. A. Rooms on the subject "The Significance of Sex."

This and other purity talks have been given before large audiences of men in other cities with great success, and the Local Association Committee feel that they have been fortunate in securing Mr. King. The lecture will be free to men of the community, whether members of the Association or not, and a large attendance is anticipated. Boys under 14 will not be admitted unless accompanied by their parents.

Mr. E. A. King of Newport will be here tomorrow to give a special lecture to men only at the Y. M. C. A. Rooms on the subject "The Significance of Sex."

This and other purity talks have been given before large audiences of men in other cities with great success, and the Local Association Committee feel that they have been fortunate in securing Mr. King. The lecture will be free to men of the community, whether members of the Association or not, and a large attendance is anticipated. Boys under 14 will not be admitted unless accompanied by their parents.

Mr. E. A. King of Newport will be here tomorrow to give a special lecture to men only at the Y. M. C. A. Rooms on the subject "The Significance of Sex."

This and other purity talks have been given before large audiences of men in other cities with great success, and the Local Association Committee feel that they have been fortunate in securing Mr. King. The lecture will be free to men of the community, whether members of the Association or not, and a large attendance is anticipated. Boys under 14 will not be admitted unless accompanied by their parents.

Mr. E. A. King of Newport will be here tomorrow to give a special lecture to men only at the Y. M. C. A. Rooms on the subject "The Significance of Sex."

This and other purity talks have been given before large audiences of men in other cities with great success, and the Local Association Committee feel that they have been fortunate in securing Mr. King. The lecture will be free to men of the community, whether members of the Association or not, and a large attendance is anticipated. Boys under 14 will not be admitted unless accompanied by their parents.

Mr. E. A. King of Newport will be here tomorrow to give a special lecture to men only at the Y. M. C. A. Rooms on the subject "The Significance of Sex."

This and other purity talks have been given before large audiences of men in other cities with great success, and the Local Association Committee feel that they have been fortunate in securing Mr. King. The lecture will be free to men of the community, whether members of the Association or not, and a large attendance is anticipated. Boys under 14 will not be admitted unless accompanied by their parents.

Mr. E. A. King of Newport will be here tomorrow to give a special lecture to men only at the Y. M. C. A. Rooms on the subject "The Significance of Sex."

This and other purity talks have been given before large audiences of men in other cities with great success, and the Local Association Committee feel that they have been fortunate in securing Mr. King. The lecture will be free to men of the community, whether members of the Association or not, and a large attendance is anticipated. Boys under 14 will not be admitted unless accompanied by their parents.

Mr. E. A. King of Newport will be here tomorrow to give a special lecture to men only at the Y. M. C. A. Rooms on the subject "The Significance of Sex."

This and other purity talks have been given before large audiences of men in other cities with great success, and the Local Association Committee feel that they have been fortunate in securing Mr. King. The lecture will be free to men of the community, whether members of the Association or not, and a large attendance is anticipated. Boys under 14 will not be admitted unless accompanied by their parents.

Mr. E. A. King of Newport will be here tomorrow to give a special lecture to men only at the Y. M. C. A. Rooms on the subject "The Significance of Sex."

This and other purity talks have been given before large audiences of men in other cities with great success, and the Local Association Committee feel that they have been fortunate in securing Mr. King. The lecture will be free to men of the community, whether members of the Association or not, and a large attendance is anticipated. Boys under 14 will not be admitted unless accompanied by their parents.

Mr. E. A. King of Newport will be here tomorrow to give a special lecture to men only at the Y. M. C. A. Rooms on the subject "The Significance of Sex."

This and other purity talks have been given before large audiences of men in other cities with great success, and the Local Association Committee feel that they have been fortunate in securing Mr. King. The lecture will be free to men of the community, whether members of the Association or not, and a large attendance is anticipated. Boys under 14 will not be admitted unless accompanied by their parents.

Mr. E. A. King of Newport will be here tomorrow to give a special lecture to men only at the Y. M. C. A. Rooms on the subject "The Significance of Sex."

This and other purity talks have been given before large audiences of men in other cities with great success, and the Local Association Committee feel that they have been fortunate in securing Mr. King. The lecture will be free to men of the community, whether members of the Association or not, and a large attendance is anticipated. Boys under 14 will not be admitted unless accompanied by their parents.

Mr. E. A. King of Newport will be here tomorrow to give a special lecture to men only at the Y. M. C. A. Rooms on the subject "The Significance of Sex."

This and other purity talks have been given before large audiences of men in other cities with great success, and the Local Association Committee feel that they have been fortunate in securing Mr. King. The lecture will be free to men of the community, whether members of the Association or not, and a large attendance is anticipated. Boys under 14 will not be admitted unless accompanied by their parents.

Mr. E. A. King of Newport will be here tomorrow to give a special lecture to men only at the Y. M. C. A. Rooms on the subject "The Significance of Sex."

This and other purity talks have been given before large audiences of men in other cities with great success, and the Local Association Committee feel that they have been fortunate in securing Mr. King. The lecture will be free to men of the community, whether members of the Association or not, and a large attendance is anticipated. Boys under 14 will not be admitted unless accompanied by their parents.

BOYS' & YOUTHS'

Calf

Shoes

HIGH CUT BALS AND BUTTONS

J. HENRY PECOR.

The "Blues" had to have some more badges printed. The color seems to take.

Mr. Harry Richardson will play the piano at tomorrow's Men's Meeting, while Mr. Gire Lay will handle the Clarinet.

Even the Juniors have caught the "Blues" fever. Their membership contest yesterday resulted in two "Blues" and no "Reds."

There will be a special rally for boys tomorrow afternoon at 3 o'clock, at which Secretary Canfield will give a "Bottle Talk." All boys invited and urged to be present.

Wonder where Captain Cox's five a day are? By the time the "Blues" get through with the general Captain and his army they will be wondering where they are "at."

Mr. E. A. King desires Captain Kackley's "Blue Army" to turn out tomorrow and try and get "Cox's Army" together in time for the Men's Meeting. Wonder who told Mr. King about their talking to the "Cornfield?" The "Blues" have got them thinking how they're going to overcome that blue membership card.

Here's That!

Wentworth One Hundred Dollars Reward for anyone of Catara that cannot be cured by Hall's Catarrh Cure.

J. P. CHERRY & CO., Proprietors, Toledo, O.

We the undersigned have known F. J. Cherry for the last 15 years, and believe him perfectly honorable in all business transactions and financially able to carry out any obligation made by their firm.

Went & Threlk.

Wholesale Druggists, Toledo, O.

WALDRING, KISSAN & MARTIN, Wholesale Druggists, Toledo, O.

Hall's Catarrh Cure is taken internally, acting directly upon the blood and unobscured surface of the system. Price 75c per bottle. Sold by all druggists. Testimonials free.

Fair Merchandising.

OUR MUSLIN UNDERWEAR came to us with a very modest margin of profit for the maker. That's why we can sell it at such surprising prices. The sizes are correctly proportioned, wide enough for the length, long enough for the width. Materials equal to those you'd buy over the counter—muslins of honest quality. Workmanship good enough to compare with the best turned on your sewing machine.

CORSET COVERS.—Felled seams, pearl buttons, V-shaped neck, ornamented with tucks, embroidered insertion and edge, 25c.; untrimmed Covers of good muslin, 10c.; handsomely decorated Covers, best cotton, Hamburg ruffle or open work insertion and edge, made entirely on lock stitch machine, cut or carefully prepared patterns, square neck, 40c.

DRAWERS.—Trimmed with three tucks, generous hem, embroidered edge, 35c.; Umbrella Drawers, extra quality machine Hamburg ruffle, neck, tucks, stayed button holes, 40c.

NIGHTGOWNS

DAILY EXCEPT SUNDAY.
THOMAS A. DAVIS,
EDITOR AND OWNER.

OFFICE—Public Ledger Building, No. 10 West Third Street.
SUBSCRIPTIONS—IN ADVANCE.
One Year \$1.00
Six Months .50
Three Months .25
DELIVERED BY CARRIER.
Per Month .05
Payable in advance at 100 cents.

TO ADVERTISERS.

Advertising rates uniform and reasonable and made known on application at the office.

Subscribers who fail to get the Public Ledger regularly will be notified by the carrier to get the paper at the office.

WE'RE FOR AMERICA & AMERICANS!

A CERNITER factory is to be built at Baria. The special article of furniture most in demand there for several years has been coffee.

"Free-Trade," criticising the LEADER's Tariff arguments, signs his name under a mass of figures that are false—that is, if the official report of the Democratic Statistician of the Treasury Department is correct—and asks people who do not know better to believe him. Whenever one puts forth "doctored" figures, he places himself beyond the pale of argument and reason.

However, in this instance THE LEADER will notice its assigned and unknown critic far enough to correct his misapprehension of fact. He lays great stress upon the statement, borne out by his false figures, that there were more importations free of duty under the McKinley Law in three years than there were under the Wilson Law for the same period.

"Free-Trade" must be a veritable fool. How does he know that the free importations under the McKinley Law for three years were greater than they have been—or will be—under the Wilson Law for three years?

The Wilson Law has been in operation only thirty months; and there are six months remaining before its three years are completed!

This erratic statement, however, is on a "party" with the rest of the able critic's logic.

But, for the sake of argument, suppose there was a greater quantity of goods imported free of duty under the McKinley Law than there has been—or will be—under the Wilson Law; the articles imported free under the McKinley Law consisted exclusively of those things that did not come into competition with articles made or grown in America by American workmen and American farmers, and the fact that the quantity was larger only serves to prove beyond peradventure that the American people under the McKinley Law were more prosperous and thus possessed greater ability to purchase these luxuries than they have possessed under the Wilson Law.

But, if "Free-Trade" wants to give his audience the real figures showing the quantities of goods imported under the two laws on which duty was paid? Those imported "free of duty" do not help the Public Treasury a penny. It is the goods on which a customs duty is collected that contribute toward paying Uncle Sam's expenses; and in this respect the Wilson Law shows a loss of \$106,227,586 in thirty months as compared with the McKinley Law for a like period.

See my Sample Books Walpole—over 400 new styles—same as shown in Alfred Pease's mammoth Chicago and New York stores. Paper Hanger and Painter doing in best style. P. M. McCARTHERY.

Last Notice to Taxpayers.
By order of the Board of Council, the Chief of Police is instructed to proceed at once to collect the delinquent taxes, and all property on which the city taxes are not paid, will be advertised for sale on the 1st day of April, according to law.
D. P. O'NEIL, Chief of Police.

OUR WASHINGTON MAN.

THE LEADER'S SPECIAL LETTER FROM THE CAPITAL.

Special Correspondence Public Ledger.

WASHINGTON, March 20th, 1907.

A long-dispatch telephone, connecting every farmer in the United States with the Capitol of the United States, would have given to the farmers of the country this week some interesting information as to the attitude of the two great parties. The Tariff Bill has been under discussion, and no farmer could have listened to the discussion without being able to clearly determine who were his friends and who were not his friends. The strong, vigorous arguments of the Republican members of the Ways and Means Committee in behalf of those features of the bill which are especially beneficial to the farmers on the one hand, and the covert sneers of the Democratic and Populist orators relative to these very features of the bill on the other, show that the farmers of the country made no mistake when, in last November, they voted the Republican party into power and the Protective system into operation. The Republican members of the committee have shown that the bill was framed with the interests of the farmers constantly in mind, while the attacks upon that measure by the Democrats have been specially leveled at those features which were beneficial to the farmer. Chairman Dingley in his opening address called special attention to these features, and General Wheeler of Colorado, who led off the debate for the Free-trade wing of the Democracy, attacked these features of the bill which are intended to be especially valuable to the agricultural community. Congressman Hopkins of Illinois devoted a large share of his speech to an explanation of the reciprocity features, showing that under the reciprocity treaties, made in pursuance of the McKinley Law, great advantages were gained in foreign markets for our agricultural products and that even greater opportunities are offered by the new bill; while Populist H. H. Colquhoun, who followed him in opposition to the bill, especially attacked the wool schedule and denounced the effort to give the home market to the American workmen and to the American producers. Mr. Bell's speech, as freighted with sneers at the wool Tariff, the sugar Tariff, the Tariff on flax and hemp, and indeed everything in the bill which is Republican in doctrine and which is intended to benefit the farmer, and these attacks were coupled with admissions on his part that even his own state had good beef-growing soil, great opportunities for wool production, and other agricultural possibilities of extreme value. Populists and Democrats seemed to vie with each other in their denunciation of the Tariff measure, and all because it is the production of the Republican party.

THE CUBAN SITUATION.

An interesting development of the week has been the additional evidence of the fact that the attitude of the new Administration with reference to the Protection of American citizens abroad is being promptly recognized by the Spanish Government. The contrast between the present conditions in Cuba with reference to American citizens and those which prevailed under the Cleveland Administration is strongly marked and is the subject of much comment on all hands. As everybody knows, during the late Administration scores of American citizens were imprisoned in Cuba, and whatever pretense at a demand for their release was made was of such a feeble character as to fail in result. The ringing utterances of Senator Sherman, now Secretary of State, in the closing days of his service in the Senate, in which he said that American citizens abroad must and should be protected at all hazards, coupled with the clear declaration of President McKinley on this subject, in his inaugural address, have had a marked and prompt effect in the attitude of the Spanish Government, and in the few weeks since the new Administration came in the prison doors in Cuba have been thrown open and large numbers of American citizens who were imprisoned there on the slightest pretext have been given their freedom. All this has happened without any bluster or protest on the part of the new Administration, but simply a clear, vigorous statement of policy which the Spanish Government has been wise enough to recognize and act upon without waiting for further developments.

INTERNATIONAL HUMANITARIAN. While there has been no official announcement as to the immediate policy of the Administration regarding the intervention of the United States in the Cuban situation, it is not unlikely that the new Administration will continue the policy of the previous Administration.

al humanitarian, the developments have been such as to warrant the conclusion that the negotiations for an international conference are to be undertaken through authorized representatives of this Government at a very early date. Whether this will be by the appointment of special representatives or through the participation of the Ministers to the foreign Governments is not yet clear, but it is known that negotiations are being set on foot, and that the Administration hopes to be able to bring about a conference in the coming autumn which will be participated in by the great Nations of the world. Meantime the friends of free coinage in the United States without the co-operation of other Nations are losing ground, and many of them recognizing the fact that international action is the only practicable method of restoring the use of silver. The old theory that silver and farm products have kept pace in value and that silver money was therefore the true measure of value has lost its hold by reason of the fact that wheat continues high while silver has continued to depreciate, reaching almost the lowest point in its history.

TARIFF LEGISLATION BEING PUSHED.

The Republicans in the House are showing their desire for prompt action on the tariff, by the hours which they are putting upon the Dingley Bill. The clock, which is accustomed to meet at 12 o'clock noon, and adjourn at 5 p. m., now begins its session at 10 a. m. and closes the day's work at 11 p. m., devoting all of its time to the one subject of the discussion of the Dingley Bill. It is expected that the discussion will be completed and the bill passed by the end of the month. Meantime, the Republicans of the Senate Finance Committee, recognizing the fact that the bill will reach them in about a week, have begun their examination of it, paragraph by paragraph. Their work will occupy, necessarily, considerable time as was the case with the Ways and Means Committee, which it will be remembered, has been months at work on the bill; but it is hoped that the bill will pass the House about March 30th, will get before the Senate by the end of April and become a law by the end of the fiscal year, June 30th.

The extracts of the Chesapeake and Ohio for the second week in March show an increase of \$40,000 over those for the corresponding week of last year.

James Tobin, C. and O. operator at Santa Buena Vista, almost wrecked train No. 1 last Tuesday. He was riding a railroad tricycle to his home at Vaco, where the train came up behind him. He only had time to jump. The tricycle was hurled from the track and broken to pieces.

Second Hand Wheels
Lowest prices.
J. T. Kackley & Co.
1008 S. STATIONERY, NOTIONS,
West Second Street, Mayville, Ky.

Good quality over lowest prices.
J. T. Kackley & Co.
1008 S. STATIONERY, NOTIONS,
West Second Street, Mayville, Ky.

J. T. Kackley & Co.
1008 S. STATIONERY, NOTIONS,
West Second Street, Mayville, Ky.

Did It Ever

occur to you that a Printer who sends you a copy of this paper 14 days in this year would like to know how many of his days?

NOTICE.

All persons having claims against the estate of F. H. Traxel, deceased, are requested to present them at once, preferably according to law; and all persons indebted to the estate to make payment at once.

W. A. CARRISON, Executor.
March 18th, 1907. MARRIAGE

MADE ME A MAN

ALFRED H. PORTER, 1008 S. STATIONERY, NOTIONS, West Second Street, Mayville, Ky.

ALFRED H. PORTER, 1008 S. STATIONERY, NOTIONS, West Second Street, Mayville, Ky.

ALFRED H. PORTER, 1008 S. STATIONERY, NOTIONS, West Second Street, Mayville, Ky.

GLASS DISCS

Used for Spectacles, to Be Placed on the Free List.

An Important Change in the Paragraph Relating to Underwear.

Appointments by the President—Applications for Positions in the Treasury Department—Postmaster at Graton, Cal., to be Re-elected.

WASHINGTON, March 20.—In the absence of the President, the Senate was presided over Friday by Mr. Frye (rep. Me.), president pro tempore. It was agreed that when the Senate adjourns Friday it will be until Monday next.

Concurrent resolutions from the state legislatures of Michigan and Wisconsin were presented. The former contained the nomination of the pension agencies at Detroit and Indianapolis, and the latter in favor of the Turkey banyan bill.

The republican members of the ways and means committee have informally decided to remove from the draft of the bill the glass discs used in the manufacture of spectacles and eye glasses and place them on the free list where they belong. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present draft, the duty was set at 35 per cent, the same as the duty in the law of 1890. In the present law the duty is 50 per cent. The committee also decided to delete from the bill the paragraph relating to underwear valued at less than \$1.00 a dozen. In the present

The B. and O. S. W. (OHIO & MISSISSIPPI RAILWAY), its knowledge where are the Emigrant's Friend. It does not promise anything does not carry out. Write to the undersigned for rates and you will save money.
C. W. PARIS, Asst Gen. Pass. Agt., Anderson, Nashville, Tenn.
Wabash..... *9:30 p.m. *10:15 a.m.

For full information as to rates, apply to THOMAS A. GARRIGAN, Southeastern Passenger Agent, Huntington, W. Va., or J. B. ...

The B. and O. S. W. (OHIO & MISSISSIPPI RAILWAY), its knowledge where are the Emigrant's Friend. It does not promise anything does not carry out. Write to the undersigned for rates and you will save money.
C. W. PARIS, Asst Gen. Pass. Agt., Anderson, Nashville, Tenn.
Wabash..... *9:30 p.m. *10:15 a.m.

For full information as to rates, apply to THOMAS A. GARRIGAN, Southeastern Passenger Agent, Huntington, W. Va., or J. B. ...

